

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

  
Attorney for Appellants



Date: 10 March 2005

**Appl. No.:** 10/669,635  
**Applicant(s):** Brian W. Huber  
**Filed:** 24 September 2003  
**Title:** VOLTAGE REGULATOR AND DATA PATH FOR A MEMORY DEVICE

**Art Unit:** 2824  
**Examiner:** Vu Anh Le

**Docket No.:** DB000859-006

**TRANSMITTAL LETTER**

To: Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Enclosed for filing are the following:

1. an Issue Fee Transmittal, Part B (PTO Form PTOL-85B);
2. a Fee Address Indication Form;
3. Remarks to Examiner's Reasons for Allowance;
4. a check in the amount of \$1,715.00 as the requisite Fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18(a), § 1.18(d) and for five (5) advanced copies of the issued patent.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 20-0888. A copy of this transmittal letter is enclosed.

Also enclosed is a return postcard. Please date stamp and mail the postcard to acknowledge receipt of the above-mentioned correspondence.

Respectfully submitted,



Edward L. Pencoske  
Reg. No. 29,688  
Thorp Reed & Armstrong, LLP  
One Oxford Centre  
301 Grant Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1425  
(412) 394-7789

Date: 10 March 2004

Attorneys for Applicant

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

  
Attorneys for Applicant



Date: 10 March 2005

**Appl. No.:** 10/669,635  
**Applicant(s):** Brian W. Huber  
**Filed:** 24 September 2003  
**Title:** VOLTAGE REGULATOR AND DATA PATH FOR A MEMORY DEVICE  
  
**Art Unit:** 2824  
**Examiner:** Vu Anh Le  
  
**Docket No.:** DB000859-006

#### REMARKS TO EXAMINER'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Applicant believes that the Statement of Reasons for Allowance in this case is improper as it merely copies one or more limitations of the claims into the reasons for allowance. While applicant believes that the claims are allowable, applicant does not agree patentability resides in each feature, exactly as expressed in the claims, or that each feature is required for patentability.

Respectfully submitted,



Edward L. Pencoske  
Reg. No. 29,688  
Thorp Reed & Armstrong, LLP  
One Oxford Centre  
301 Grant Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1425  
(412) 394-7789

Date: 10 March 2004

Attorneys for Applicant